# RESEARCH

# **Open Access**

# Persistence of African swine fever virus on porous and non-porous fomites at environmental temperatures



Suphachai Nuanualsuwan<sup>1,4\*</sup>, Tapanut Songkasupa<sup>2</sup>, Prakit Boonpornprasert<sup>2</sup>, Nutthakarn Suwankitwat<sup>2</sup>, Walaiporn Lohlamoh<sup>2</sup> and Chackrit Nuengjamnong<sup>3,4</sup>

# Abstract

**Background:** African swine fever (ASF) is a lethal contagious disease affecting both domestic pigs and wild boars. Even though it is a non-zoonotic disease, ASF causes economic loss in swine industries across continents. ASF control and eradication are almost impossible since effective vaccines and direct antiviral treatment are not available. The persistence of ASFV on fomites plays an important role in the indirect transmission of ASFV to pigs encountering ASFV-contaminated fomites. ASFV persistence on porous and non-porous fomites (glass, metal, rubber, and cellulose paper) at different environmental temperatures was determined. The persistence of ASFV of fomites was determined by the rate of ASFV inactivation in terms of  $D_{T_i}$  or the time required to reduce ASFV per 1 log at each selected environmental temperature (T).  $D_T$  is used to compare the persistence of ASFV on the fomites.

**Results:** The mean  $D_{25}$ ,  $D_{33}$ , and  $D_{42}$ , of dried infectious ASFV on glass, metal, rubber, and paper were in the ranges 1.42–2.42, 0.72–1.94, and 0.07–0.23 days, respectively. The multiple  $D_T$  were used to develop a  $D_T$  model to predict the  $D_T$  for some other environmental temperatures. The  $D_T$  models to predict the persistence of dried infectious ASFV on glass, metal, rubber, and paper are  $\log D_T = (-T/21.51) + 1.34$ ,  $\log D_T = (-T/20.42) + 1.47$ ,  $\log D_T = (-T/14.91) + 2.03$ , and  $\log D_T = (-T/10.91) + 2.84$ , respectively. A spreadsheet as a quick and handy tool predicting the persistence time of dried infectious ASFV on fomites at various environmental temperatures based on these  $D_T$  models is available for public to download.

**Conclusion:** Persistence of dried infectious ASFV on paper are significantly the longest at lower environmental temperatures whereas that of dried infectious ASFV on paper is significantly the shortest at higher environmental temperature.

Keywords: Environmental temperature, Inactivation, African swine fever virus, D<sub>T</sub>, Fomite

# Background

African swine fever virus (ASFV) is an enveloped doublestranded DNA virus with a genome between 170 and 194 kbp in a virion diameter of 172–191 nm. It belongs to

\*Correspondence: suphachai.n@chula.ac.th

the family *Asfarviridae* and the genus *Asfivirus* [1]. The major clinical symptom of African swine fever (ASF) is a hemorrhagic fever. ASFV morbidity and mortality rates are high and cause a severe threat to the pig industry. A previous study introduced healthy pigs into a pen contaminated with excretions from ASFV-infected pigs. Even though these healthy pigs were infected with ASFV, the infectivity period for indirect transmission was limited [2]. Aside from direct transmission, encountering



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/ficenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Center of Excellence for Food and Water Risk Analysis (FAWRA), Department of Veterinary Public Health, Faculty of Veterinary Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

contaminated fomites plays an important role in indirect transmission of ASFV [3].

Even though ASFV is an enveloped virus, the persistence of ASFV ranges from days to years in animal products and the environment. ASFV is persistent in frozen conditions or at 4 °C for months to years [4]. ASFV persisted in frozen meat and blood for more than 2 years and 6 years, respectively [5, 6]. Some reports indicated that ASFV is persistent for 11–160 days in pig manure [7, 8]. While its stability in pig manure depends upon the storage temperature, a recent study demonstrated that ASFV remains infectious for 8 days at 4 °C and 4 days at 37 °C [9]. Interestingly, the stability of ASFV in manure at environmental temperatures was affected by enzymatic digestion by bacteria [10]. Additionally, ASFV is persistent over a wide pH range between 4 and 11 [11]. Effective thermal inactivation of ASFV occurs at 56 °C for 70 min or at 60 °C for 20 min [12].

ASFV is persistent not only in the environment and pork products but also in feed ingredients. Therefore, either chemical or physical inactivation of imported commodities that are likely to be contaminated with ASFV are among the recommended precautionary risk management measures to control the risk of ASFV introduction to an importing country [13]. ASFV is persistent to a 0.25-2.0% mixture of medium-chain fatty acids consisting of caprylic, capric, and lauric acids while it is only inactivated no more than 1.0 log  $\mathrm{TCID}_{50}/\mathrm{ml}$  after being exposed to 2.0% GM in commercial swine feed at room temperature for more than 30 min (p < 0.01) [14]. An aqueous formaldehyde-based additive at 0.03% and 0.3% inactivates ASFV titer by 0.8 log TCID<sub>50</sub>/ml and 3.5 log  $TCID_{50}$ /ml, respectively at room temperature in 30 min inactivation time [15]. ASFV with the initial titer of 7.0 log  $HAU_{50}/cm^3$  was not detectable in complete feed stored between 22-25 and 4-6 °C after 5 and 40 days, respectively [16]. Birch wood served as a model to demonstrate the virucidal activity of citric acid to inactivate dried infectious ASFV on a porous surface [17]. Such scientific reports regarding the persistence or inactivation of ASFV on contaminated fomites are limited. Therefore, the objectives of this study were to determine ASF persistence on glass, metal, rubber, and paper under different environmental temperatures and to develop a  $D_{\rm T}$  model to predict  $D_{\rm T}$  of some other environmental temperatures.

# Results

## ASFV persistence on the fomites

The ASFV suspension and blood suspension were spread and dried on the surfaces of the fomites before they were stored at 25, 33, and 42 °C for selected incubation times. The titers of ASFV at time zero were measured by resuspending the dried infectious ASFV on the fomite surface with a cell culture medium. The mean initial titers were in the range 1.8–7.8 log  $HAD_{50}/ml$ . The titers of dried infectious ASFV on glass, rubber, metal, and paper gradually decreased during storage at environmental temperatures between 25 and 43 °C. Overall, the virucidal effect against dried infectious ASFV was more pronounced on paper than on other fomites at 33 and 42 °C (Fig. 1).

#### $D_{T}$ of dried infectious ASFV on fomites

The persistence curve was fitted to the linear regression of the log reduction of ASFV titer  $(N_t)$  versus the incubation time (*t*). The persistence rate of ASFV on the fomite was calculated by fitting the slope of this persistence curve [18]. The best-fit slope of the persistence curve was always negative since the ASFV titers (y-axis) supposedly decrease along the incubation time (x-axis), indicating the virucidal activity of the drying and temperature effect along time (Fig. 1). The mean  $D_{\rm T}$ , persistence curves, and gof of ASFV on four fomites across three environmental temperatures are shown in Table 1. The mean  $D_{25}$ ,  $D_{33}$ , and  $D_{42}$  of dried infectious ASFV of all fomites are in the ranges 1.42-2.42, 0.72-1.94, and 0.07-0.23 days, respectively. The ASFV persistence curves across three environmental temperatures on four fomites are statistically significant (p < 0.05). Therefore, in this study, ASFV was inactivated by drying on glass, rubber, metal, and paper and further incubation at environmental temperatures between 25 and 42 °C.

Tukey's multiple comparisons of  $D_{\rm T}$  of dried infectious ASFV on fomites at 3 environmental temperatures were determined and are shown in Table 2. In general, the environmental temperatures are inversely related to the  $D_{\rm T}$  of dried infectious ASFV; as the environmental temperature rises, the mean  $D_{\rm T}$  drops. The mean  $D_{25}$  of dried infectious ASFV in four fomites is the longest and this is followed by  $D_{33}$  and  $D_{42}$ , respectively (p < 0.05), indicating that warmer environmental temperatures had a shorter  $D_{\rm T}$  and vice versa. The significant differences in  $D_{\rm T}$  of dried infectious ASFV on glass and rubber across environmental temperatures indicated that the dried infectious ASFV was inactivated faster at warmer environmental temperatures. The mean  $D_{25}$  and  $D_{33}$  of dried infectious ASFV on paper are significantly the longest whereas the mean  $D_{42}$  of dried infectious ASFV on paper is significantly the shortest. The mean  $D_{25}$ ,  $D_{33}$ , and  $D_{42}$ of dried infectious ASFV on metal are between those of dried infectious ASFV on rubber and paper (p < 0.05).

## D<sub>T</sub> model

Based on the  $D_{\rm T}$  of dried infectious ASFV in Table 1, the DRT curves were drawn from the logarithmic  $D_{\rm T}$  of dried infectious ASFV on fomites on the *y*-axis versus the environmental temperatures on the *x*-axis (Fig. 2). The mean



Table 1  $D_{\rm T}$  and persistence curves of infectious ASFV on several fomites at environmental temperatures

Fomite	Temp. (°C)	D <sub>T</sub> (day) <sup>a</sup>	Inactivation curve <sup>b</sup>	gof <sup>c</sup>		<i>p</i> value
				r <sup>2</sup>	RMSE	
Non-porous						
Glass	25	$1.42 \pm 0.05$	$\log N_t = -0.69t + 6.42$	0.74	1.20	< 0.001
	33	$0.72 \pm 0.10$	$\log N_t = -0.65t + 3.95$	0.65	1.41	< 0.001
	42	$0.23 \pm 0.05$	$\log N_t = -4.40t + 7.03$	0.85	0.36	< 0.001
Metal	25	$1.90 \pm 0.10$	$\log N_t = -0.51t + 2.93$	0.66	1.08	< 0.001
	33	$1.32 \pm 0.53$	$\log N_t = -0.33t + 3.05$	0.43	1.11	0.02
	42	$0.14 \pm 0.02$	$\log N_t = -7.20t + 6.63$	0.91	0.43	< 0.001
Porous						
Rubber	25	$1.54 \pm 0.04$	$\log N_t = -0.67t + 5.80$	0.61	1.58	< 0.001
	33	$1.08 \pm 0.01$	$\log N_t = -0.35t + 3.76$	0.58	0.87	< 0.001
	42	$0.23 \pm 0.04$	$\log N_t = -4.40t + 6.70$	0.79	0.43	< 0.001
Paper	25	$2.42 \pm 0.28$	$\log N_t = -0.42t + 2.28$	0.48	1.26	0.01
	33	$1.94 \pm 0.01$	$\log N_t = -0.17t + 0.92$	0.32	0.69	0.04
	42	$0.07 \pm 0.01$	$\log N_t = -14.39t + 6.84$	0.87	1.04	< 0.001

<sup>a</sup> Mean  $\pm$  S.D

<sup>b</sup> ASFV titer (log  $N_t$ ) at incubation time t (day)

<sup>c</sup> goodness-of-fit

and 95% CI of *z* values and the predicted  $D_{\rm T}$  models on fomites are shown in Table 3. The *gof* values of the  $D_{\rm T}$  models of all fomites indicate that the  $D_{\rm T}$  models could

be used to predict the  $D_{\rm T}$  for some other environmental temperatures.

**Table 2** Comparison of mean  $D_T \pm$  S.D. (day) of dried infectious ASFV on 4 fomites at 3 environmental temperatures

Fomite	Environmental temperature (°C)					
	25	33	42			
Glass	1.42±0.05 <sup>A,a</sup>	$0.72 \pm 0.10^{A,b}$	$0.23 \pm 0.05^{A,b,c}$			
Rubber	$1.54 \pm 0.04^{B,a}$	$1.08 \pm 0.01^{B,b}$	$0.23 \pm 0.04^{A,c}$			
Metal	$1.90 \pm 0.10^{C,a}$	$1.32 \pm 0.53^{B,C,a}$	$0.14 \pm 0.02^{B,b}$			
Paper	$2.42 \pm 0.28^{D,a}$	$1.94 \pm 0.01^{C,a}$	$0.07 \pm 0.01^{C,b}$			

In the column-wise comparison, mean  $D_T$  with different letters implies that there are statistically significant differences (p < 0.05) among the different fomites for the same environmental temperature. (letters A through D). In the row-wise comparison, mean  $D_T$  with different letters implies that there are statistically significant differences (p < 0.05) among the different environmental temperatures for the same fomite (letters a through c)



## Discussion

In this study, the persistence of the African swine fever virus (ASFV) on porous and non-porous fomites at environmental temperatures (25, 33, and 42  $^{\circ}$ C) was investigated. The porous fomites were rubber and paper while the non-porous fomites were glass and metal. The incubation times were designated to be able to follow the

reduction of dried infectious ASFV titers. The persistence of dried infectious ASFV was longer at a lower environmental temperature for both porous and non-porous fomites (Fig. 1). At 42 °C, the persistence of dried infectious ASFV on all fomites lasted only one day. At 25 °C, the persistence of dried infectious ASFV on glass, rubber, metal was longer than 7 days from the initial titer in the range  $4-7 \log HAD_{50}/ml$ , while that of dried infectious ASFV on paper was only 3 days from the initial titer (only  $3.8 \log HAD_{50}/ml$ ) at the same temperature. The persistence of dried infectious ASFV on fomites at 33 °C with the mean initial tiers in the range of  $1.8-5.8 \log \text{HAD}_{50}$ / ml was between those at 25 and 42 °C (Fig. 1). Interestingly, since the initial titers of dried infectious ASFV on different fomites were not consistent as a result of the virus degradation during storage, the direct comparison of log reduction of ASFV on different fomites could be biased. In this study, the persistence rate in terms of  $D_{\rm T}$ [19] was used to comparatively determine the persistence of dried infectious ASFV on different fomites at different environmental temperatures.

The result of this study demonstrates that the mean  $D_{25}$ and  $D_{33}$  of dried infectious ASFV on paper were statistically longest while those of dried infectious ASFV on glass were statistically shortest (Table 2). This indicates that at 25 and 33 °C dried infectious ASFV is the most and the least persistent on paper and glass, respectively (Table 2). On the other hand, at 42 °C dried infectious ASFV on paper and glass become the least and the most persistent, respectively. According to the  $D_{\rm T}$  model in Table 3, a lower z value results in a larger change of  $D_{\rm T}$ and vice versa. The z values of dried infectious ASFV on paper and glass are the lowest (10.91 °C) and the highest (21.51 °C), respectively (Table 3). As the environmental temperature rises from 33 to 42 °C,  $D_{\rm T}$  of dried infectious ASFV on paper drops faster (Table 2). Until  $D_{42}$  of dried infectious ASFV on paper and glass becomes shortest and longest, respectively, which is opposite to previous values at  $D_{25}$  and  $D_{33}$ . Note that, considering the overall persistence on the fomites, dried infectious ASFV has

**Table 3** Z value and  $D_{T}$  model of dried infectious ASFV for 4 fomites

	1					
Fomite	z value (°C)		D <sub>T</sub> model <sup>a</sup>	gof		<i>p</i> value
	Mean	95% CI		r <sup>2</sup>	RMSE	
Glass	21.51	18.28–26.13	$\log D_{\rm T} = -\left(\frac{T}{2151}\right) + 1.34$	0.96	0.07	< 0.001
Rubber	20.42	15.71–29.16	$\log D_{\rm T} = -\left(\frac{T}{20.42}\right) + 1.47$	0.92	0.12	< 0.001
Metal	14.91	11.42-21.45	$\log D_{\rm T} = -\left(\frac{T}{14.91}\right) + 2.03$	0.91	0.14	< 0.001
Paper	10.91	7.76–18.36	$\log D_{\rm T} = -\left(\frac{T}{10.91}\right) + 2.84$	0.86	0.32	< 0.001

<sup>a</sup> log  $D_{T}$  (day) for the unknown environmental temperature T ( $\dot{C}$ )

a longer persistence on the porous fomites at the lower environmental temperatures assayed (25 and 33 °C) while dried infectious ASFV becomes more persistent on the non-porous fomites at the higher environmental temperature selected (42 °C).

After being infected with ASFV, pigs develop clinical symptoms and ASFV is secreted. Then, ASFV readily contaminates various types of surfaces in the farm environment. This could lead to indirect transmission when pigs encounter such contaminated fomites [20, 21]. Even though ASFV is highly stable in the environment, the likelihood of ASFV transmission depends upon the initial titer of the virus [12, 22]. The highest titer of ASFV, particularly in the blood of infected pigs, is 9 log  $HAD_{50}$ / ml [20]. This value, including the mean  $D_{\rm T}$  of dried infectious ASFV on fomites under the environmental temperatures from this study (Table 2) were used to calculate the minimum to maximum persistence of dried infectious ASFV on porous and non-porous fomites as shown in Table 4. This range of the persistence of dried infectious ASFV is useful to determine the safe downtime not only in the farm environment but also in some other environments along the pork supply chain, particularly where cleaning and disinfection are almost impossible.

The aim of this study was to suggest the possible range of persistence of dried infectious ASFV contaminating various fomites in the farm environment against selected environmental temperatures, mimicking seasonal temperatures. For the temperate climate of Thailand, the environmental temperatures were ranged between 25 and 33 °C [23] while the extreme maximum environmental temperature during summer was approaching 42 °C [24]. At 42 °C, the persistence of dried infectious ASFV on both non-porous and porous fomites was comparable and over the range of 1–2 days, while at 25 and 33 °C the persistences of dried infectious ASFV on porous fomites are slightly longer than that on non-porous fomites by 3-5 days. The maximum persistence of dried infectious ASFV was about 2–3 weeks at 25 °C on porous fomites. This indicates that the environmental temperature affects the persistence of dried infectious ASFV more than the kind of fomite.

Even though this study demonstrates the limited persistence period of dried infectious ASFV on various fomites (Table 4), cleaning and disinfection are still mandatory through contaminated fomites. Some previous studies reported the ranges of virucidal activity of chemical disinfectants against dried infectious ASFV on porous and non-porous fomites [17, 25]. Both 500 ppm hypochlorite and 1.0% citric acid effectively inactivated dried infectious ASFV on a non-porous fomite with a contact time of 10 min. The log reductions of dried infectious ASFV by 500 ppm hypochlorite with a contact time of 10 min on steel and plastic were  $4.80 \pm 0.46$  and  $4.75 \pm 0.61 \log$ CCID<sub>50</sub>/ml, respectively, while those of dried infectious ASFV using 1.0% citric acid with a contact time of 10 min on steel and plastic were  $4.80 \pm 0.11$  and  $4.88 \pm 0.38 \log$  $\text{CCID}_{50}/\text{ml}$ , respectively [25]. This implies that the virucidal activity of chemical disinfectants against dried infectious ASFV appears to be the same among nonporous fomites. On the other hand, for the porous fomite, the log reductions of dried infectious ASFV using 1,000 ppm hypochlorite and 2.0% citric acid with a contact time of 30 min on birch wood were  $3.75 \pm 0.44$  and  $4.72 \pm 0.41 \log \text{CCID}_{50}/\text{ml}$ , respectively [17]. These two previous studies were performed by the same group of authors with similar experimental designs. Therefore, the results of these two studies were assumed to be comparable. Note that the initial titer of dried infectious ASFV in these two studies was in the range of  $5-7 \log \text{CCID}_{50}$ / ml, therefore applying disinfectants with those concentrations and contact times results in the residual infectivity of ASFV on the fomite. To achieve roughly similar log reductions of dried infectious ASFV, the porous fomite (birchwood) required to double the disinfectant's concentration comparing with the non-porous fomite assays. The virucidal activities of disinfectant against dried infectious ASFV on non-porous fomite seem to be higher than those on porous fomite. Since the type of fomite could influence not only the virucidal activities of chemical disinfectant but also the persistence of dried infectious ASFV, the choices of the risk mitigation measure should consider the type of fomite.

to mitigate the risk of indirect transmission of ASFV

Since the  $D_{\rm T}$  models against dried infectious ASFV on porous and non-porous fomites as shown in Table 3 are complicated and prone to error, an easy spreadsheet predicting the  $D_{\rm T}$  and the persistence time from these  $D_{\rm T}$ models 4 is provided (Additional file 1). This spreadsheet is intended to expand and ease the field applications by

Table 4 Persistence of dried infectious ASFV on non-porous and porous fomites at selected environmental temperatures

Fomite	25 °C		33 °C		42 °C	
	Min (days)	Max (days)	Min (days)	Max (days)	Min (days)	Max (days)
Non-porous	11	17	6	12	1	2
Porous	14	22	10	17	1	2

just entering the environmental temperatures including the desire log reduction of ASFV under the fomite worksheet; this spreadsheet promptly provide the lower and upper 95% confidence interval of the persistence time. The link to download this spreadsheet is available.

As far as we are aware, this is the first study of the persistence of dried infectious ASFV on porous and nonporous fomites at environmental temperatures. To know and finely assess the persistence of dried infectious ASFV under environmental temperatures is potentially beneficial to the swine industry to determine the safe downtime from the farm environment to the processing plants in the pork supply chain, particularly where cleaning and disinfection are too difficult.

# Conclusion

The persistence of dried infectious ASFV on porous and non-porous fomites under environmental temperatures was evaluated. The persistence of ASFV was in turn determined by the rate of ASFV inactivation in terms of  $D_{\rm T}$  or the time required to reduce ASFV infectious titer per 1 log at an environmental temperature (T). The mean  $D_{25}$ ,  $D_{33}$ , and  $D_{42}$ , of dried infectious ASFV on glass, metal, rubber, and paper were in the ranges 1.42-2.42, 0.72-1.94, and 0.07-0.23 days, respectively. The persistence of dried infectious ASFV was affected by both environmental temperatures and the type of fomite. The  $D_{\rm T}$  models to predict the persistence of dried infectious ASFV on glass, metal, rubber, and paper are log  $D_{\rm T} = (-{\rm T}/{21.51}) + 1.34$ , log  $D_{\rm T} = (-{\rm T}/{20.42}) + 1.47$ , log  $D_{\rm T} = (-T/14.91) + 2.03$ , and  $\log D_{\rm T} = (-T/10.91) + 2.84$ . The  $D_{\rm T}$  values of dried infectious ASFV on glass, metal, rubber, and paper provide insight into the risk of ASFV transmission through contaminated fomites e.g. vehicles, rubber boots, or paper packaging.

# **Materials and methods**

## **Cell preparation**

Primary swine macrophages were aseptically collected from 24 week-old crossbred pigs in which the absence of PCV2, CSFV, PRRSV, and ASFV was confirmed by polymerase chain reaction assay (PCR). Peripheral blood morphonuclear cells (PBMCs) were prepared from defibrinated swine blood as previously described [12]. The cells were cultured in autogenous pig serum for maturation and then, after 3–4 days, monocyte-derived macrophages (MDMs), that is macrophage-like round cells, were proliferated on a vessel surface. The cells were continually cultured in RPMI-1640 (Gibco, Waltham, MA, USA) culture medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and supplemented with antibiotic–antimycotic solution (Gibco, Waltham, MA, USA).

#### **ASFV** titration

The ASFV isolates (Asian epidemic strain, genotype II) were originated from pork products confiscated from international tourists between 2018 and 2020. The ASFV stocks (ASFV-NIAH-BL01-05) for the inactivation studies were routinely maintained and titrated in PBMCs culture and stored in aliquots at - 80 °C until use. All experiments with ASFV were performed at biosafety level 3 at the NIAH.

The viral titers were determined by PBMC cell cultures. Approximately  $1.5 \times 10^6$  cells/well in 96-well plates were seeded in each well for 3–4 days before the assay. Fifty microliters of a tenfold serial solution of samples were inoculated into the wells in quadruplicate and the samples were incubated in a CO<sub>2</sub> incubator at 37 °C for 5–7 days. The presence of haemadsorption (HAD) was examined under the microscope and the 50% HAD infectious dose per ml (HAD<sub>50</sub>/ml) was calculated using the Reed and Muench method.

### ASF inactivation on fomites

To study the effect of various environmental matrices, two types of fomites, porous and non-porous, were studied. The porous fomites were silicone rubber and cellulose paper (Whatman® Cat. No. 1030 023) while the non-porous fomites were borosilicate petri dish glass and metal (AISI 304 2B stainless steel). ASFV suspension (500 ul) was dropped onto the rubber, cellulose, and paper and evenly spread on the surface while ASFV-spiked blood was dropped onto the glass. The initial infectious ASFV suspension and blood suspension had titers of 5.0 log HAD<sub>50</sub>/ml and 4.5 log HAD<sub>50</sub>/ ml, respectively. All fomite surfaces were air-dried inside a biological safety cabinet at room temperature for 30 min. The air-dried infectious ASFV suspension was incubated at environmental temperatures of 25, 33, and 42 °C in an advanced microbiological incubator (Heratherm IMH 60; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Melbourne, Australia). After reaching the environmental inactivation time, 500 µl of cell culture medium (RPMI-1640) was added to and mixed with the fomite surface The mixture on the fomite surface was carefully scraped and collected. Then the mixture was centrifuged, harvested, and stored at - 80 °C until the residual infectious virus was titrated.

## Persistence curve

The viral persistence rate follows first-order kinetics where a linear persistence curve is fitted to the reduction of log ASFV titer as a function of incubation time at a constant environmental temperature [26-29]. The

negative reciprocal of the slope of this linear curve is  $D_{T_r}$  as shown in the following equation:

$$\log N_t = -\frac{t}{D_t} + \log N_0 \tag{1}$$

where  $N_t$  and  $N_0$  are the ASFV titer at incubation times t and zero, respectively.

# D<sub>T</sub> model

The DRT curve is derived from fitting multiple values of  $D_{\rm T}$  on a semi-logarithmic scale across environmental temperatures tested. The linear equation of the DRT curve is fitted to log  $D_{\rm T}$  (DRT) as a function of environmental temperature. This linear equation becomes the  $D_{\rm T}$ model. Analogous to  $D_{\rm T}$ , the *z* value is the negative reciprocal of the slope of the DRT curve. Therefore, the *z* value is the temperature required to change  $D_{\rm T}$  by 90%.  $D_{\rm T}$  for an environmental temperature could be predicted by the *z* value together with the *y*-intercept of the fitted linear equation as shown in the following equation:

$$\log D_T = -\frac{T}{Z} + y - \text{intercept}$$
(2)

where

 $D_{\rm T}$  is the *D* of ASFV at environmental temperatures *T*. *z* is the negative reciprocal of the slope.

### Statistical analyses

The statistical significance of the persistence curve to determine the ASFV reduction on the fomite surface was obtained using an F-test of the regression analysis. Likewise, the statistical significance of the DRT curve to determine the change of persistence rate as a result of the environmental temperature was obtained using an F-test of the regression analysis. The goodness-of-fit (gof) of both the persistence curve and the DRT curve was determined using the correlation coefficient  $(r^2)$  and the root mean square error (RMSE) [27]. The statistical difference of ASFV persistence rates  $(D_{\rm T})$  across three environmental temperatures was determined using oneway analysis of variance (ANOVA). Likewise, the fomite effect was determined by the statistical difference in persistence rates  $(D_{\rm T})$  over four types of fomite at the same environmental temperature. After ANOVA indicated a statistically significant difference, Tukey's multiple comparison test was used to determine the pair-wise  $D_{\rm T}$ differences in terms of either temperatures or fomites. IBM<sup>®</sup> SPSS<sup>®</sup> Statistics version 22 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for the statistical analyses.

#### Abbreviations

ASF: African swine fever; ASFV: African swine fever virus; *D*<sub>T</sub>: Decimal reduction time at temperature T; DRT: Decimal reduction time; ANOVA: Analysis of variance; gof: Goodness-of-fit; RMSE: Root mean square error; PCR: Polymerase chain reaction assay; TCID: Tissue culture infective dose; HAD: Haemadsorption.

### Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s40813-022-00277-8.

**Additional file 1**. Predicting  $D_{\rm T}$  and Persistence time of ASFV on fomites at environmental temperatures.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Yukol Limlamthong, Dr. Annop Kunavongkrit, and Dr. Kamlang Chumpolbanchorn for technical advice.

#### Author contributions

SN conceptualized and designed the overall study. TS, PB, NS, and WL performed the experiment collected and analyzed the data. SN and TS drafted the manuscript. PB and CN edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

This study was supported by Agricultural Research Development Agency (Public Organization).

#### Availability of data and materials

The spreadsheet supporting the conclusions of this article is available in the https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.19706335.

### Declarations

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Animal experiments regarding blood collection for the primary swine macrophages were performed under animal biosafety level 2 at the National Institute of Animal Health (NIAH), Bangkok, Thailand. All procedures were carried out in compliance with the Animal for Scientific Purpose Act 2015 (B.C. 2558). The ARRIVE guidelines 2.0 were followed for the care and use of laboratory animals. The animal study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at NIAH (Approval number EA-009/64(R)).

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Veterinary Public Health, Faculty of Veterinary Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand. <sup>2</sup>Virology Laboratory, National Institute of Animal Health, Chatuchak, Bangkok, Thailand. <sup>3</sup>Department of Animal Husbandry, Faculty of Veterinary Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand. <sup>4</sup>Center of Excellence for Food and Water Risk Analysis (FAWRA), Department of Veterinary Public Health, Faculty of Veterinary Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand. Received: 8 May 2022 Accepted: 20 July 2022 Published online: 28 July 2022

#### References

- Carrascosa JL, Carazo JM, Carrascosa AL, Garcia N, Santisteban A, Vinuela E. General morphology and capsid fine structure of African swine fever virus particles. Virology. 1984;132:160–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6822(84)90100-4.
- Olesen AS, Hansen MF, Rasmussen TB, Belsham GJ, Bodker R, Botner A. Survival and localization of African swine fever virus in stable flies (Stomoxys calcitrans) after feeding on viremic blood using a membrane feeder. Vet Microbiol. 2018;222:25–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic. 2018.06.010.
- 3. Straw BE. Diseases of swine. Ames: Blackwell Pub.; 2006.
- Fauquet CM, Mayo MA, Maniloff J, Desselberger U, Ball LA. Virus taxonomy: VIIIth report of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses. Academic Press. 2005.
- Adkin A, Coburn H, England T, Hall S, Hartnett E, Marooney C, Wooldridge M, Watson E, Cooper J, Cox TJNHVLA. Risk assessment for the illegal import of contaminated meat and meat products into Great Britain and the subsequent exposure of GB livestock (IIRA): foot and mouth disease (FMD), classical swine fever (CSF), African swine fever (ASF), swine vesicular disease (SVD). 2004.
- De Kock G, Robinson E, Keppel J. Swine fever in South Africa. Ondersterpoort J Vet Res Anim Ind. 1940;14:31–93.
- Montgomery RE. On a form of swine fever occurring in British East Africa (Kenya Colony). J Comp Pathol Ther. 1921;34:159–91.
- 8. EFSA Panel on Animal Health and Welfare. Scientific opinion on African swine fever. 2010;12:3628
- Davies K, Goatley LC, Guinat C, Netherton CL, Gubbins S, Dixon LK, Reis AL. Survival of African swine fever virus in excretions from pigs experimentally infected with the Georgia 2007/1 isolate. Transbound Emerg Dis. 2017;64:425–31. https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.12381.
- 10. Turner C, Burton C. The inactivation of viruses in pig slurries: a review. Biores Technol. 1997;61:9–20.
- Coetzer JAW, Thomson GR, Tustin RC. Infectious diseases of livestock with special reference to Southern Africa, Oxford University Press. New York: Cape Town; 1994.
- OIE. African Swine Fever, in: Office International des epizooties P. (Ed.), Office International des epizooties, Paris, 2021. https://www.oie.int/app/ uploads/2021/03/african-swine-fever.pdf, p. 5.
- 13. Niederwerder MC. Risk and mitigation of african swine fever virus in feed. Anim Basel. 2021. https://doi.org/10.3390/ani11030792.
- Jackman JA, Hakobyan A, Zakaryan H, Elrod CC. Inhibition of African swine fever virus in liquid and feed by medium-chain fatty acids and glycerol monolaurate. J Anim Sci Biotechnol. 2020;11:114. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s40104-020-00517-3.
- Niederwerder MC, Dee S, Diel DG, Stoian AMM, Constance LA, Olcha M, Petrovan V, Patterson G, Cino-Ozuna AG, Rowland RRR. Mitigating the risk of African swine fever virus in feed with anti-viral chemical additives. Transbound Emerg Dis. 2021;68:477–86. https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed. 13699.
- Sindryakova IP, Morgunov YP, Chichikin AY, Gazaev IK, Kudryashov DA, Tsybanov SZ. The influence of temperature on the Russian isolate of African swine fever virus in pork products and feed with extrapolation to natural conditions. Sel'skokhozyaistvennaya Biol. 2016;51:467–74.
- Krug PW, Larson CR, Eslami AC, Rodriguez LL. Disinfection of foot-andmouth disease and African swine fever viruses with citric acid and sodium hypochlorite on birch wood carriers. Vet Microbiol. 2012;156:96– 101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2011.10.032.
- Marais DJ, Constant D, Allan B, Carrara H, Hoffman M, Shapiro S, Morroni C, Williamson AL. Cervical human papillomavirus (HPV) infection and HPV type 16 antibodies in South African women. J Clin Microbiol. 2008;46:732–9. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01322-07.
- Mazur-Panasiuk N, Wozniakowski G. Natural inactivation of African swine fever virus in tissues: influence of temperature and environmental conditions on virus survival. Vet Microbiol. 2020;242: 108609. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.vetmic.2020.108609.

- Mazur-Panasiuk N, Zmudzki J, Wozniakowski G. African swine fever virus

   persistence in different environmental conditions and the possibility
   of its indirect transmission. J Vet Res. 2019;63:303–10. https://doi.org/10.
   2478/jvetres-2019-0058.
- Chenais E, Depner K, Guberti V, Dietze K, Viltrop A, Stahl K. Epidemiological considerations on African swine fever in Europe 2014–2018. Porcine Health Manag. 2019;5:6. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40813-018-0109-2.
- Beltran-Alcrudo D, Gallardo M, Kramer S, Penrith M, Kamata A, Wiersma L. African swine fever: detection and diagnosis, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). 2017.
- Aksornsingchai P, Srinilta C. Statistical downscaling for rainfall and temperature prediction in Thailand. In: Proceedings of the international multiconference of engineers and computer scientists. 2011;1: 356-361
- 24. Phumkokrux N, Rukveratham S. Investigation of mean monthly maximum temperature of Thailand using mapping analysis method: A case study of summer 1987 to 2019. In E3S Web of Conferences EDP Sciences. 2020;158:01001.
- Krug PW, Lee LJ, Eslami AC, Larson CR, Rodriguez L. Chemical disinfection of high-consequence transboundary animal disease viruses on nonporous surfaces. Biologicals. 2011;39:231–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biolo gicals.2011.06.016.
- Trudeau MP, Verma H, Sampedro F, Urriola PE, Shurson GC, Goyal SM. Environmental persistence of porcine coronaviruses in feed and feed ingredients. PLoS ONE. 2017;12:e0178094. https://doi.org/10.1371/journ al.pone.0178094.
- Kamolsiripichaiporn S, Subharat S, Udon R, Thongtha P, Nuanualsuwan S. Thermal inactivation of foot-and-mouth disease viruses in suspension. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2007;73:7177–84. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM. 00629-07.
- Stallknecht DE, Shane SM, Kearney MT, Zwank PJ. Persistence of avian influenza viruses in water. Avian Dis. 1990;34:406–11.
- Domanska-Blicharz K, Minta Z, Smietanka K, Marche S, van den Berg T. H5N1 high pathogenicity avian influenza virus survival in different types of water. Avian Dis. 2010;54:734–7. https://doi.org/10.1637/8786-040109-ResNote.1.

## **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

